# **Production of p-process governed by** the s-process in Type Ia SNe Gallino R. **Collaborators:** Travaglio C., Röpke F., Seitenzahl I., Hillebrandt W., HEINRICH UND ELO Rauscher T., Dauphas N. AEUS Nucleosynthesis in Asymptotic Giant Branch Stars July 14-18th 2014 Bad Honnef, Germany

## Abstract

I discuss the possibility that single degenerate WDs accreting mass from a Giant companion up to the Chandrasekhar mass limit, and exploding as Type Ia SNe, provide a substantial contribution to Galactic p-nuclei, including the very debated pairs <sup>92</sup>Mo--<sup>94</sup>Mo and <sup>96</sup>Ru--<sup>98</sup>Ru.

In the Galactic chemical evolution scenario, also the two shortlived p-only nuclides, <sup>92</sup>Nb, <sup>146</sup>Sm, whose presence in the early solar system have been ascertained, can be reconciled.

So to say, the mistery of the <sup>13</sup>C-pocket formation in TDU-TPAGB translates to an even double mistery for making the p-process.

Nucleosynthesis in Asymptotic Giant Branch Stars July 14-18th 2014 Bad Honnef, Germany

have differen

Martin Land and All



Nucleosynthesis in Asymptotic Giant Branch Stars



<sup>113</sup>In, <sup>115</sup>Sn are p-only isotopes?
r-process contribution (Dillmann et al. 2008, Nemeth et al. 1994)

NO p-only nuclei

 <sup>138</sup>La produced by neutrino interaction on <sup>139</sup>La (Woosley et al. 1990)

> <sup>152</sup>Gd has large s-process contribution (Arlandini et al. 1999, Käppeler et al. 2011)

<sup>164</sup>Er at least 50% contribution by s-process (Jaag & Kaeppeler 1996)

180Ta at least 50% by the s-process and 40%Travaglio et al. (2011)Wohr et al. 2007)

# s-nucleosynthesis during accretion phase

Accreting white dwarfs as an alternate or additional source of s-process isotopes" (Iben, ApJ 243, 1981)



## **Previous Attempts**

Howard,W.M., &Meyer, B. S. 1993, in Proc. 2nd International Symposium on Nuclear Astrophysics, Karlsruhe, Germany, ed. F. K<sup>•</sup>appeler & K. Wisshak (Bristol: Institute of Physics Publishing), 575 Howard, W. M., Meyer, B. S., & Woosley, S. E. 1991, ApJ, 373, L5

Kusakabe, M., Iwamoto, N., & Nomoto, K. 2005, Nucl. Phys. A, 758, 459 Kusakabe, M., Iwamoto, N., & Nomoto, K. 2011, ApJ, 726, 25

## 2D model DDT-a, 51200 tracers

Travaglio et al. 2011











Nucleosynthesis in Asymptotic Giant Branch Stars July 14-18th 2014 Bad Honnef, Germany





log(X<sub>i</sub>/X<sub>i,©</sub>)



## p-yields 2D vs 3D, solar Z

### Galactic chemical evolution with a grid of 8 metallicities



## Radiogenic p-nuclei

#### <sup>146</sup>Sm (t<sub>1/2</sub>=68 Myr, old 103 Myr)

The most important development with <sup>146</sup>Sm in the past several years with respect to p-nucleosynthesis is a drastic revision of its half-life from 103 Myr to 68 Myr. Using this new half-life and the most up-to-date meteorite measurements, the initial <sup>146</sup>Sm/<sup>144</sup>Sm ratio at CAI formation is  $(9.4 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-3}$ 

#### <sup>92</sup>Nb (t<sub>1/2</sub> = 34.7 Myr)

<sup>93</sup>Nb (a pure s-process nuclide) for <sup>92</sup>Nb. For the purpose of examining p-nucleosynthesis and comparing meteoritic abundances with predictions from GCE, it is more useful to normalize <sup>92</sup>Nb to a neighbour p-nuclide such as <sup>92</sup>Mo. The early solar system <sup>92</sup>Nb/<sup>92</sup>Mo ratio is  $(2.8 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-5}$ 

Also and <sup>97</sup>Tc and <sup>98</sup>Tc radiogenic p-isotopes are included in our network but only upper limits are measured in CAI. Our predictions are consistent Nucleosynthesis in Asymptotic Giant Branch Stars July 14-18th 2014 Bad Honnef, Germany

# Radiogenic 9²Nb

Travaglio et al. (2014, ApJ submitted)



# Radiogenic

146Sm

Travaglio et al. (2014, ApJ submitted)



## 92Nb and 146Sm in SNIa

	Meteorite	GCE
92Nb/92Mo	$(2.8 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-5}$	1.7- 3.1x10 <sup>-5</sup>

## $^{146}$ Sm/ $^{144}$ Sm (9.4 ± 0.5)x10<sup>-3</sup> 1.7x10<sup>-2</sup>

Rauscher et al. (2013), new 148Gd( $\chi, \alpha$ )144Sm rate.

We found that the obtained

Orace 1

<sup>146</sup>Sm/<sup>144</sup>Sm ratio is compatible with the meteoritic value when using a <sup>148</sup>Gd( $\gamma, \alpha$ ) rate based either on a fit to the Somorjai et al. (1998) ( $\alpha, \gamma$ ) cross sections or on the recent rate including an additional reaction channel as presented by Rauscher (2013). Concerning

Reactions	Rate set MIN	Rate set MAX
$^{91}$ Zr(p, $\gamma$ ) $^{92}$ Nb	Ţ	↑
$^{92}$ Zr(p, $\gamma$ ) $^{93}$ Nb	Ļ	, ↓
$^{92}\mathrm{Zr}(\mathrm{p,n})^{92}\mathrm{Nb}$	Ļ	1
$^{91}{ m Nb}({ m n},\gamma)^{92}{ m Nb}$	↑	$\downarrow$
$^{92}\mathrm{Nb}(\mathrm{n},\gamma)^{93}\mathrm{Nb}$	$\downarrow$	1
$^{91}\mathrm{Nb}(\mathrm{p},\gamma)^{92}\mathrm{Mo}$	↑	$\downarrow$
$^{93}\mathrm{Nb}(\mathrm{p,n})^{93}\mathrm{Mo}$	$\uparrow$	$\downarrow$
$^{93}$ Mo(n, $\gamma$ ) $^{94}$ Mo	$\uparrow$	$\downarrow$
GCE	$1.660 \times 10^{-5}$	$3.118  imes 10^{-5}$



# Travaglio et al. (2014, ApJ submitted)





p-yields changing <sup>13</sup>C and fixing Z

# $2\mathbf{D}$ vs $3\mathbf{D}$



Nucleosynthesis in Asymptotic Giant Branch Stars July 14-18th 2014 Bad Honnef, Germany

Same lan